

**MINUTES OF 172<sup>ND</sup> MEETING THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION CHANDIGARH  
HELD ON 07.10.2011 AT 11.00 A.M. IN THE ASSEMBLY HALL OF THE MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION, CHANDIGARH.**

---

The following were present:

1.	Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh	Mayor
2.	Smt. Prerna Puri, IAS	Commissioner
3.	Sh. Kuldeep Singh	Sr. Dy. Mayor
4.	Smt. Sheela Devi	Dy. Mayor
5.	Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma	Councillor
6.	Smt. Anu Chatrath	Councillor
7.	Brig. K.S.Chandpuri (Retd.)	Councillor
8.	Sh. Arshad Khan	Councillor
9.	Sh. M. P. S. Chawla	Councillor
10.	Dr. A.P. Sanwaria	Councillor
11.	Dr. Neelam Malik	Councillor
12.	Dr. Amrit Bolaria	Councillor
13.	Ar. P.K. Mukherjee	Councillor
14.	Sh. Resham Chand Jakhu	Councillor
15.	Smt. Harjinder Kaur	Councillor
16.	Sh. Manjit Singh	Councillor
17.	Sh. Pardeep Chhabra	Councillor
18.	Smt. Kamlesh	Councillor
19.	Dr. Pam Rajput	Councillor
20.	Sh. Harphool Chand	Councillor
21.	Sh. Jagjit Singh Kang	Councillor
22.	Sh. Bhupinder Singh	Councillor
23.	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Gupta	Councillor
24.	Smt. Anju Beniwala	Councillor

25.	Smt. Rita Sharma	Councillor
26.	Sh. Devinder Singh Babla	Councillor
27.	Smt. Sarita Devi	Councillor
28.	Sh. Ram Sumar Morya	Councillor
29.	Sh. Ram Lal	Councillor
30.	Sh. Jatinder Bhatia	Councillor
31.	Sh. Anil Kumar Dubey	Councillor
32.	Smt. Raj Kumari	Councillor
33.	Sh. Gurcharan Dass Kala	Councillor
34.	Smt. Sunita	Councillor
35.	Sh. Lalit Siwach, HCS	Secretary

**The following Officers also attended the meeting: -**

1.	Sh. Sunil Bhatia, PCS	Additional Commissioner-I
2.	Sh. S.S. Bida	Chief Engineer
3.	Sh. R.C. Diwan	Superintending Engineer (P.H.)
4.	Sh. Mukesh Anand	Superintending Engineer (B&R)
5.	Dr. B.K. Salwan	Municipal Health Officer
6.	Sh. Desh Raj	Chief Accounts Officer
7.	Sh. Vishal Gupta	XEN Roads Divn. No.1
8.	Sh. Jai Pal Singh	XEN Roads Divn. No.2
9.	Sh. Sanjay Arora	XEN Roads Divn. No.3
10.	Sh. Harinder Singh	XEN (P.H. Divn.No.1)
11.	Sh. R.S. Ahluwalia	XEN (P.H. Divn.No.2)
12.	Sh. Anil Bansal	XEN (P.H. Divn.No.3)
13.	Sh. Gurpreet Singh	XEN (P.H. Divn.No.4)
14.	Sh. Arun Kansal	XEN. Horticulture

At the outset, the Secretary welcomed the Mayor, Councillors, Officers and the Media persons present in the meeting.

Dr. A.P.Sanwaria enquired whether this meeting was a special or ordinary meeting and why the meeting had been convened so soon.

The Mayor apprised that it was a regular general meeting and since some agendas were pending for approval, it was decided to convene the meeting to decide the same at the earliest.

Sh. Pardeep Chhabra stated that recently one Councillor Sh. Ram Sumer Morya was attacked by some miscreants which is unfortunate and the House should condemn it. He further stated that fingers have been pointed on the ruling party as well as the Mayor and different types of allegations were leveled and inquiries conducted but the person who is innocent comes clean out of the mess. He pointed out that it has come to be known through the newspapers that a case under section 420, 120 CrPC has been lodged against a Councillor who has been appreciating Sh. Anna Hazare the other day. It is the duty of elected Councillors to respect the nominated Councillors but they also need to be criticized if they are involved in such criminal cases.

Dr. A.P. Sanwaria objected strongly to it and there was din in the House.

### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 1**

**Confirmation of the minutes of 171<sup>st</sup> meeting of the General House held on 28.9.2011 at 11.00 a.m. in the Assembly Hall of the MC, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.1 before the House for confirmation.

Sh. P.K. Mukherjee stated that as per discussion in the last meeting, he was expecting the detail of the accounts for Rs. 3000.00 crore spent during the last four years in the meeting but it has not been provided.

The Chief Accounts Officer assured that the detail of the accounts will be made available for inspection next Monday.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma pointed out that at Page 20 of the minutes there is no mention of the letter submitted by him in the House to the Commissioner which he had read out. He also stated that in the last meeting he had enquired about the expenditure on preparation of DPR and other related works but the same has also not been mentioned in the minutes. He further pointed out that at "Page 23 of the minutes, he had categorically stated that it was a rarest of rare example of the city and Uppal Housing should not be compared with a House Building Society where a chunk of land is allotted to a group of people forming the society, as in this case the land has been sold by way of auction. In this case, the purchasers of flats are to check only the occupation certificate before purchasing it.

Sh. Jagjit Singh Kang was of the view that houses constructed by M/s Uppal Housings should be sealed first and it should be enquired as to how this project was initiated and he has already submitted a representation in this regard.

Sh. M.P.S. Chawla was of the view that Show Cause Notice for resumption of site should be withdrawn and the matter be thoroughly examined before taking any decision.

Dr. A.P. Sanwaria stated that EWS houses should be constructed at the site as per decision of the House but the purchaser of the flats should be issued No Objection Certificates.

Dr. Amrit Bolaria was of the view that before putting the papers before the House, the officers should have examined the matter carefully and see whether the clause pertaining to 15% EWS houses has been mentioned in the allotment letter and on the papers of auction and then the House should have been given the clear picture of the matter. She further stated that this is the matter of Estate Office and it should not have been brought before the House.

The Mayor stated that keeping in view the sentiments of the members of the House instead of referring the matter to CBI, the Commissioner may examine the matter

thoroughly. The proceedings of show cause notice and related issues are pending with the Estate Officer of the Corporation, who should also decide the matter expeditiously. He apprised the House that a letter has been received from the Estate Office in which it has been mentioned that a revised building plan has been submitted for which permission has been given to construct EWS houses up to 2015.

Sh. Devinder Singh Babla stated that earlier spot inspection was conducted by the then Joint Commissioner who apprised the House with the help of the projector that the builder has not constructed EWS houses as per terms and conditions. On the basis of that report the House decided to resume the site. Now the members are favouring the builder in the name of the occupants. He stated that such matter should not be brought before the House in future.

Sh. Arshad Khan pointed out that the information given in the House earlier was incomplete whereas the proper information has been given today. He asserted that, if the builder has been given permission up to 2015 then there is no reason to stop the NOC to the occupants of the flats.

The Secretary stated that issuance of NOC is purely administrative matter and the decision of the House will be kept in view. He further stated that the report of the Joint Commissioner was correct but incomplete due to non-availability of complete facts.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma stated that the reply of his question came in the House after 4-5 months mentioning therein some discrepancies. In the said reply it was categorically mentioned about the officer responsible for the lapse. The House desired to conduct inquiry on the basis of the reply submitted by the office. He further said that the office took action against the builder and the residents but failed to take any action against the officer responsible for the lapse. It is regular practice that the officers take immediate action where the public is adversely affected, but never take action against the officers. He further stated that

during last 5 years the House resolved many times to conduct inquiry and submit report, but no inquiry was conducted and no action was taken against any officer. Due to immaturity of an officer incomplete information was placed before the House as a result of which the House took decision otherwise, now the officers have brought new information and want the House to change its decision.

Smt. Kamlesh stated that the officers should have brought complete information before the House earlier and the House will not change its earlier decision.

Sh. Anil Dubey desired that inquiry should be conducted against the officer responsible for this lapse and under whose protection EWS houses were not constructed.

Smt. Anu Chatrath stated that Sh. Kamlesh Kumar the then Joint Commissioner conducted the inquiry and submitted a report before the House, which was appreciated by the Councillors. Now officers are saying that revised building plan has been approved. She enquired, why this fact was not earlier apprised to the House.

Sh. Devinder Singh Babla was of the view that this matter should not have been brought before the House and the officers should take decision at their own level.

Sh. Anil Dubey and Sh. Ram Lal also supported the views of Sh. D.S. Babla.

The Mayor stated that the Commissioner will look into the matter at her own level and take appropriate action.

Smt. Kamlesh pointed out that she had stated in the last meeting that the officers did not take action according to the terms and conditions of the Garbage Processing Plant, therefore action should be taken against them, but there is no mention in the minutes.

Sh. Jatinder Bhatia pointed out that he had inquired about the Grant-in-aid received from Government of India and the Chief Engineer had replied that the detail of the Grant-in-aid would be placed in the next meeting. Neither the said detailed information has been placed before the House nor it has been mentioned in the minutes. Similarly, he had inquired about the tender for SSK and the Chief Engineer had replied the tender would be floated again. At this, he reminded the Chief Engineer not to give false information as the tender has already been received on 21.7.2011 and the same is still pending for finalization. The S.E. had assured that the tender will be finalized within a week, but the said tender has not been finalized yet.

The Mayor asked the S.E.(B&R) why the said tender had not been finalized.

The S.E.(B&R) assured that the tender will be finalized today itself.

Sh. Jatinder Bhatia further stated that he had pointed out in the last meeting that the lights of parks are not functioning for the last 1½ months and the Chief Engineer had replied that he already has issued instructions in this regard. This matter has not been mentioned in the minutes at page No.18 and neither any action has been taken. On inquiry, it has been revealed that there is no stock of tube lights and chokes in the store for replacement. He suggested that the tenders for such items should be floated in advance so that articles could be procured in time.

The Chief Engineer replied that some lights have been made functional and for other lights, tender has to be floated.

Smt. Kamlesh was of the view that officers were not performing efficiently as a result the lights of parks were not working for the last 6 months.

The Chief Engineer assured that all the lights would be made functional before Diwali.

Sh. Jatinder Bhatia pointed out that while discussing the STP Plant at Sector 47, 3BRD, he had said in the last meeting that the House sanctioned Rs.45.00 crore for the said plant. At that time it was said in the House that in case this project is sent to Delhi, it would take at least two years for the approval. Since then two years have lapsed but this plant has not been finalized. Had this project been sent to Govt. of India, the Corporation would have saved Rs.45 crore. He desired that his statement be added in the minutes.

Sh. Ram Lal stated that a Company was allotted work of re-carpeting in Ram Darbar one year ago, but he has stopped the work for the last 2 months and despite his repeated request to the officers no action has been taken against the contractor. He further stated that the lights do not work for more than three months and as a result only three light points are in working order in Jawahar Park.

The S.E.(B&R) explained that the work of re-carpeting was stopped due to rainy season and the contractor would be starting the work within days and he already had completed 80% of the work allotted to him.

Sh. P.K. Mukherjee pointed out that the office is going to spent Rs.1.92 crore for construction of three UGRs, which is on the high side and sought the details of the expenditure incurred for construction of UGR with 10000 Gallon capacity at Dadumajra. He further inquired the justification for constructing foundation beneath the railings already set up 50 years ago.

Smt. Anu Chatrath pointed out that at page No.17 at last para the year of construction of Khad Banao Kendra should be 2002 instead of 2007. In the last meeting the Mayor had directed to demolish the said structure immediately, but no action has so far been taken. She further stated that it has already been decided to paint the road berms of V-4 & V-5 roads and repair the lights before Diwali, but no action seems to be taken in this regard.



The S.E.(B&R) assured that road berms will be painted black & white before Diwali.

Dr. A.P. Sanwaria pointed out that while discussing agenda item No.14, he had suggested to recover the fine through water charges bill in case anybody fails to deposit the same, but it has not been mentioned in the minutes.

*“The House considered & confirmed the minutes of 171<sup>st</sup> meeting of General House held on 28.09.2011 at 11.00 a.m. in the Assembly Hall of Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh subject to the following amendments:-*

- a) The following lines be added at page no.13 in agenda item no.14:-

*Dr. A.P. Sanwaria suggested that fine be recovered through water charges bill in case anybody fails to deposit the same.*

- b) *In the last para of page No.17, the year 2007 be read as 2002.*

- c) The following lines be added at the end of the para attributed to Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma at page No.23:-

*“He further added that it was a rarest of rare example of the city and Uppal Housings should not be compared with Group Housing Societies where a chunk of land is allotted to a group of people forming the society, whereas in this case the land has been sold by way of auction. In this case the purchasers of the flats are to check only the occupation certificate for purchasing them.”*

- d) *The resolution of Supplementary Agenda Item No.7 at the bottom of page No.24 be deemed as withdrawn as the House did not confirm the same.*

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 2**

### **Question by Sh. Pardeep Chhabra, Councillor**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.2 before the House for discussion.

Since Sh. Pardeep Chhabra had already left the House, therefore the question was deferred.

### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 3**

**RCE for construction of approach road, parking and P/F 60 mm thick rubber mould paver blocks around parks in Govt. Houses Labour House Tenement Police Colony (House No.836-842) in Sector 20-A, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.3 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the estimate amounting to Rs.35.54 lac for construction of approach road, parking and P/F 60 mm thick rubber mould paver blocks around parks in Govt. Houses Labour House Tenement Police Colony (House No.836-842) in Sector 20-A, Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 4**

**Rough cost estimate for Strengthening of Boundry wall including its repair & construction at S.T. Plant Diggian, Mohali.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.4 for approval of the House.

Sh. Jatinder Bhatia enquired whether similar agenda was placed before the House earlier also and what was the status of that agenda? He further said that as per his knowledge similar agenda has already been passed by the House and asked why it had been brought up again.

The S.E.(P.H.) explained that he visited the plant and found the boundary wall damaged, therefore he directed to prepare the estimate. It is not in his knowledge, whether the similar agenda has already been passed and the record needed to be checked up.

Sh. Jatinder Bhatia stated that a Committee was constituted for the assessment of this plant, therefore this agenda be deferred and brought before the House in the next meeting along with the report of the Committee.

*“The House considered & deferred the agenda for the next meeting.”*

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 5**

**Estimate for the work of “Providing, installing, testing & commissioning of Soft Starter Panels for 150 HP to 375 HP Slipring Motors at various Water Works i.e. in Sector-12, 32 & 37, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.5 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the estimate amounting to Rs.98.20 lac for the work of “Providing, installing, testing & commissioning of Soft Starter Panels for 150 HP to 375 HP Slipring Motors at various Water Works i.e. in Sector-12, 32 & 37, Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 6**

**RCE for Renovation / Upgradation of 20 No. Type-I Fire Pool House in Sector 33 and 1 No. House in Sector 20, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.6 for approval of the House.

The Mayor said that estimate for renovation of remaining fire pool houses should also be prepared.

*“The House considered & resolved that the estimate amounting to Rs.42.33 lac for Renovation / Upgradation of 20 No. Type-I Fire Pool House in Sector 33 and 1 No. House in Sector 20, Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

Smt. Anu Chatrath stated that a delegation of Dhobis met the Mayor for renovation of the building of Dhobi Ghat at Sector-7, Chandigarh and the Mayor assured them and directed to prepare agenda for renovation on the pattern of Dhobi Ghat, Sector-15, Chandigarh. But even after lapse of one year no action has been taken in this regard.

The Mayor directed to prepare the estimates and bring in the meeting of F&CC.

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 7****Rough cost estimate for strengthening of road between Sector 14 & 15 (Junction 17 to 24), Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.7 for approval of the House.

Sh. Devinder Singh Babla said that the internal roads of Sector 27 have been damaged, but despite many requests the roads are not being repaired on the plea that material is not available, whereas some roads which are in good condition are being re-carpeted.

The Mayor stated that it has already been decided in the House that the damaged roads can be re-carpeted even before 5 years and he had also sent a note in this regard to the Chief Engineer.

The S.E.(B&R) explained that there is a criteria for re-carpeting of roads after 5 years, otherwise road will lose its strength and it has to be strengthened also. He further stated that he had visited Sector 27 and found that only two roads are in a bad condition whereas all the remaining roads are in good condition. He assured that these roads will be repaired soon.

Smt. Kamlesh stated that the main road of Dadumajra is in very bad shape and the Chief Engineer and S.E.(B&R) had also visited the area but refused to repair the road as only two years have passed after carpeting. She desired that enquiry be conducted as to how the roads get damaged within two years.

Sh. Manjit Singh stated that roads of Palsora have also been damaged for which tender has been floated 5-6 times, but no contractor is coming forward. He further stated that he has been requesting to repair the roads, but nothing has been done.

The S.E.(B&R) explained that the road pointed out by Smt. Kamlesh was carpeted in 2009, but it had been damaged because there is no road gully for drainage of rain

water. Estimates are under preparation for providing road gullies on that road and notice has been issued in this regard and the road will be repaired in due course of time.

Sh. Gurcharan Dass Kala said that the roads of Manimajra were dug one year ago, but they have not been re-carpeted and people are facing inconvenience due to bad shape of roads. No action is being taken despite repeated request to the Chief Engineer. He further stated that street lights are not in working condition and therefore require immediate repair.

The S.E.(B&R) assured that re-carpeting will be started from the next week.

Smt. Harjinder Kaur stated that there is no check on the quality of roads carpeted by the contractors as a result of which they get damaged within two years. She pointed out that the roads of Sector 44-A are in a very bad shape and require immediate repairing. She further pointed out that the pressure of water in Sector 44-A & B is very low and despite repeated requests to the officers they are unable to find out the fault as they do not know the exact location of the pipes laid there. She requested that such problem is being faced by most of the areas where multi storey flats are located and desired that this problem be sorted out at the earliest.

*“The House considered & resolved that the estimate amounting to Rs.88.50 lac for strengthening of road between Sector 14 & 15 (Junction 17 to 24), Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 8**

### **Rough cost estimate for strengthening of Palsora, Road, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.8 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the estimate amounting to Rs.46.89 lac for strengthening of Palsora, Road, Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 9**

**Subject: Report regarding augmentation of water supply scheme ,Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed agenda item No.9 for approval of the House.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma pointed out that the cost of DPR has not been mentioned in the agenda as he had asked for the same in the last meeting. He said that every time it happens that the information asked in the meeting is not brought before the House in the next meeting.

The Chief Engineer said that relevant information will be supplied in the next meeting.

The Mayor directed the S.E.(P.H.) to supply the information to Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma by Wednesday positively.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma further said that the record pertaining to the letter written by Sh. Aujla has not been provided to him. In the year 2006, when the pipes were being laid for Phase-IV, the then Administrator wrote a letter in which it has been clearly mentioned that the land strip required for laying pipe from Kajauli to Chandigarh was acquired by the Chandigarh Administration. He also referred a DO letter written by the Commissioner to the Punjab Govt. dated 15.9.2005, in which it has been mentioned that the strip of land has been acquired for laying pipes at the cost borne by Chandigarh Administration. He asked the Chief Engineer to make statement whether Chandigarh Administration made payment for acquisition of land or not. He also asked Chief Engineer to make a statement whether the letter written by the Commissioner was wrong.

S.E.(P.H.) referred to a letter dated 04.02.1980 in which it has been mentioned that the total cost of acquisition of land is to be divided in the ratio of 2:1 between U.T.

Government & Punjab Government according to which approximately Rs.27.00 lac is to be deposited by U.T. Administration and balance by the Punjab Government. The cost of land has been deposited by Punjab as well as Chandigarh Administration.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma pointed out that techno-feasibility of the project was to be studied. He showed a copy of the project report, which he had procured from the office of GMADA and pointed out that at the last page of the DPR a design of schematic diagram has been given, in which 12 pumps including 6 standby have been proposed, whereas on the first page 16 pumps including 8 standby have been shown. He enquired which of these two is correct. He further pointed out that the Punjab Govt. have proposed 1200 mm dia pipeline for 40MGD water whereas the Chandigarh Administration is proposing 1600 mm dia pipeline. He asked why this project report has not been brought before the House for information. He further pointed out that the name of Chandigarh Administration has been mentioned in the DPR whereas the project has not been approved by the Corporation yet.

Sh. Anil Dubey said that it is a serious matter and it is a practice that all the facts are not apprised to the House and the officers keep the House in dark and desired that all the points raised by Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma should be clarified.

The Mayor explained that in a meeting he had requested the officers of the Punjab Government to give the water share to Chandigarh from Kajauli Water Head Works from Phase V & VI. The Chief Secretary, Punjab Govt. had stated that their political bosses have refused to give water to Chandigarh for phase V & VI. Then one of the officers of Punjab suggested an alternative of laying bigger dia pipeline may be explored. The Punjab Government had asked for formal consent of the Corporation for this proposal. Therefore, this matter has been brought before the House. He assured that all the detailed documents will be placed before the House for approval before executing this project. He stated that the Municipal Corporation would be just

writing a formal letter to GMADA accepting the proposal of laying bigger dia pipeline instead of 1600 mm dia pipe for carrying 80 MGD of water from Kajauli Head Works. He also explained that Haryana has also disagreed for phase VII and VIII. In the last meeting he did not allowed the agenda to be approved as there were some observations by Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma and Smt. Anu Chatrath and asked the S.E. to collect all the relevant data. He further requested the Commissioner to clarify from Sh. P.S. Aujla, IAS on what basis the letter dated 15.9.2005 was written. He also requested Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma to submit in writing all his queries on the project so that the reply of the same could be sought from the Engineering Wing and the matter would be placed before House in its next meeting.

Smt. Harjinder Kaur stated that the objective of all the political persons should be the interest of the city. Every Councillor expect that the members of all committees would take decision in the interest of the whole city. There was a problem of electricity in Sector 52, where pipeline was to be laid down by the Administration, but the Officers of the Corporation accomplished the said project at their own level in the interest of the public. But when similar problem arose in her ward the members of the F&CC objected to it.

The Mayor clarified that when the agenda came for discussion in the F&CC, Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma had proposed that since the electric poles on V-6 roads are not under the Municipal Corporation, therefore the matter be referred to the Chandigarh Administration. However, he suggested to take up the project out of ward development funds. He further stated that the reply of the queries raised by Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma be replied by S.E.(P.H.) by Wednesday.

***“The House considered & approved the proposal of conveying in principle approval to GMADA for Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme of Chandigarh.”***



### **SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEM NO. 1**

**Request for regularization of the services of daily wage Safaikaramcharies engaged on compassionate ground.**

The Secretary placed Supplementary agenda item No.1 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the Safaikaramcharies engaged on compassionate ground be regularized.”*

### **SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEM NO. 2**

**Management plan for daily lifting of garbage bins of Municipal Solid Waste.**

The Secretary placed Supplementary agenda item No.2 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & approved the proposal to purchase the machinery and engagement of manpower as proposed in the agenda for daily lifting of garbage bins of Municipal Solid Waste.”*

### **SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEM NO. 3**

**Lifting of garbage container.**

The Secretary placed Supplementary agenda item No.3 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the proposal to engage 15 drivers and 15 helpers through outsourced agencies on the same terms and conditions for lifting of garbage containers be and is hereby approved.”*

### **TABLE AGENDA ITEM NO. 1**

**To issue free parking stickers to Councillors.**

The Secretary placed Table agenda item No.1 for approval of the House.

The Mayor stated that a representation from the Councillors has been received wherein it has been requested that after expiry of the term of the present House they should be issued 3(three) paid parking stickers for the next full term.

Smt. Harjinder Kaur objected that it was a petty issue and rather ex-councillors should contribute for some constructive objectives.

Sh. Chander Mukhi Sharma suggested that the names of all the Councillors of all the three Houses should be displayed on the board in the Councillors room.

Smt. Kamlesh suggested to complete the photo gallery of the Mayors in the Committee Room.

*“The House considered & resolved that two free parking stickers be issued to all the Councillors for the next year.”*

### **TABLE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2**

**Construction of Community Centre in village Kajheri, Sector 52, Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed Table agenda item No.2 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the rough cost estimate amounting to Rs.341.63 lac for construction of Community Centre in village Kajheri, Sector-52, Chandigarh, be and is hereby approved.”*

### **TABLE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3**

**Shifting of Urinals.**

The Secretary placed Table agenda item No.3 for approval of the House.

*“The House considered & resolved that the main Urinals in Grain Market in front of booths be shifted to some other place.”*

### **TABLE AGENDA ITEM NO. 4**

**Resolution for allotment of shops for Govt. Fair Price Shops (Depot) in Chandigarh.**

The Secretary placed Table agenda item No.4 for approval of the House.

The Mayor stated that the Corporation has never allotted booths, however the interested persons can take it on lease or through auction. Therefore, the matter can be examined and booths can be given on lease.

*“The House considered & resolved that the matter be examined for leasing booths to the fair price depot holders.”*

**The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.**

**Secretary,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Chandigarh.**

**Mayor,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Chandigarh.**